

Software Project: Weakly Fair $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$

August 17, 2006

This is a project recommended by David Vogan at the Atlas workshop, July 2006.

Suppose $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ is a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{l} . Suppose $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ defines a one-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{l} , i.e. $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all roots of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{l} . Fix $\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})$ containing $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{u})$, and let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ and $\rho(\mathfrak{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{u})} \alpha$ as usual. We normalize cohomological induction so $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ has infinitesimal character $\lambda + \rho$.

We say λ is *good* if

$$(1) \quad \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

and is *weakly fair* if

$$(2) \quad \langle \lambda + \rho(\mathfrak{u}), \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

If λ is good then $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is non-zero, irreducible, unitary, and has regular integral infinitesimal character. These representations are quite well understood. If λ is weakly fair then $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is non-zero, unitary, but not necessarily irreducible. It is of interest to compute this sum: some of these are interesting unitary representations.

That is write

$$(3) \quad A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) = \sum_i a_i \pi_i$$

where each π_i is irreducible, and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem: Use the atlas software to compute (3).

Here is a sketch of what is involved.

Fix $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ and weakly fair λ .

This is all going on in the block of the trivial representation, so label the parameters in this block $0, \dots, n$. Write $\pi(i)$ for the irreducible representation with parameter i , and $I(i)$ for the standard representation.

Recall $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)$ has infinitesimal character ρ and is unitary. It occurs in the output of the `blocku` command. Find i (in the output of `blockd` or `blocku`) so that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(0) = \pi(i)$.

Now choose $w \in W$ so that

$$(4) \quad \langle w(\lambda + \rho), \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

Next compute

$$(5) \quad w^{-1} \cdot \pi(i) = \sum_{j \in S} c_j \pi(j)$$

where $S \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$. Here $w^{-1} \cdot \pi(i)$ is the coherent continuation action. The `wgraph` command gives the information needed to compute this action. This is carried out by the helper application `coherentContinuation` at www.liegroups.org/software/helpers (at some point this will be built into `atlas` itself).

Now list the simple roots β_1, \dots, β_r such that

$$(6) \quad \langle w(\lambda + \rho), \beta_k^\vee \rangle = 0.$$

Now discard those $\pi(j)$'s for which some β_k is in the τ -invariant of $\pi(j)$. That is write $S' \subset S$ for the set of $j \in S$ for which

$$(7) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq r, \beta_k \text{ is not in the } \tau \text{ invariant of } \pi(j)$$

This is available from the output of the `block` command, see the Appendix. We discard $\pi(j)$ if:

$$(8) \quad \text{some } \beta_k \text{ is of type } ic, r1, r2, \text{ or } C - \text{ for } \pi(j).$$

Then we have

$$(9) \quad A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) = \sum_{j \in S'} c_j \pi'(j)$$

where

$$(10) \quad \pi'(j) = \psi_{w(\lambda+\rho)}^\rho(\pi(j))$$

the translation of $\pi(j)$ at infinitesimal character ρ to infinitesimal character $\lambda + \rho$. Each $\pi'(j)$ is irreducible, and (by the assumption on the τ -invariant) non-zero.

1 Appendix

We take the opportunity to summarize in one place information about types of roots. Fix a block with parameters $0 \leq i \leq n$. Recall the cross action is defined on parameters; we write $w \times i = j$.

For each parameter i each simple root is listed by type in the output of `block`:

i1: α is imaginary, noncompact, and type I, meaning the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) $s_\alpha \notin W(G(\mathbb{R}), H(\mathbb{R}))$
- (b) the Cayley transform c_α is single-valued
- (c) $s_\alpha \times i \neq i$
- (d) this is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -situation
- (e) $c_\alpha(\alpha)$ is of type r1

i2: α is imaginary, noncompact, and type II, meaning the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) $s_\alpha \in W(G(\mathbb{R}), H(\mathbb{R}))$
- (b) the Cayley transform c_α is double-valued
- (c) $s_\alpha \times i = i$
- (d) this is a $PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$ situation
- (e) $c_\alpha(\alpha)$ is of type r2

r1: α is real, satisfies the parity condition, and is type 1, meaning the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) $\alpha(h) \neq -1$ for any $h \in H(\mathbb{R})$,
- (b) the Cayley transform c^α is double valued
- (c) $s_\alpha \times i = i$
- (d) this is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -situation
- (e) $c^\alpha(\alpha)$ is of type i1

r2: α is real, satisfies the parity condition, and is of type 2, meaning the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) $\alpha(h) = 1$ for some $h \in H(\mathbb{R})$,
- (b) the Cayley transform c^α is single valued
- (c) $s_\alpha \times i \neq i$
- (d) this is a $PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -situation
- (e) $c^\alpha(\alpha)$ is of type $i2$

rn: α is real, and does not satisfy the parity condition.

ic: α is imaginary and compact,

C+: α is complex, and $\theta(\alpha) > 0$

C-: α is negative, and $\theta(\alpha) < 0$

The roots in the τ -invariant of the irreducible representation $\pi(i)$ with parameter i are determined as follows. If α is simple then:

1. α imaginary: $\alpha \in \tau(\pi(i)) \Leftrightarrow \alpha$ is compact
2. α real: $\alpha \in \tau(\pi(i)) \Leftrightarrow \alpha$ satisfies the parity condition
3. α complex: $\alpha \in \tau(\pi(i)) \Leftrightarrow \theta(\alpha) < 0$

In the notation of atlas this means

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{l} \tau(\pi(i)) : \quad ic, r1, r2, C- \\ \text{not in } \tau(\pi(i)) : \quad i1, i2, rn, C+ \end{array}$$